ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-532of 2015.</u>

| Sutapa Manna Alias Patra <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal& Others. | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Serial No. and Date of order.1 | Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2 | Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary | | | |
| | | 3 | | | |
| 17 | For the Applicant : Mrs.S. Mitra, Ms. A. P. Banerjee, Advocates. | | | | |
| 20-03-2019 | | | | | |
| | For the State Respondent : Mr.S. K. Mondal, Advocate. | | | | |
| | The instant application has been filed | | | | |
| | mainly challenging the enquiry report dated 11- | | | | |
| | 12-2007 whereby the committee did not | | | | |
| | recommend her case on two grounds i.e. | | | | |
| | (1) The mother of the applicant was also | | | | |
| | government employee. | | | | |
| | (2) Though the applicant was unmarried | | | | |
| | at the time of death of the deceased | | | | |
| | employee, however thereafter she got | | | | |
| | married. Therefore her case was not found | | | | |
| | fit for recommendation as she cannot be | | | | |
| | treated as dependent of the deceased | | | | |
| | employee. | | | | |
| | Though the respondent have not filed | | | | |

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

| Sutapa I | Manna | Alias | Patra. |
|----------|-------|-------|--------|
|----------|-------|-------|--------|

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others.

| 2 | and dated signature |
|---|--|
| ı | of parties when necessar |
| | 3 |
| any reply. However the Counsel for the | |
| respondent has submitted that since both the | |
| facts are not been controverted by the | |
| applicant. Therefore in view of such fact, the | |
| Enquiry Committee has rightly rejected the | |
| claim of the applicant. | |
| | |
| Heard both the parties and perused the | |
| records. It is an admitted fact that the wife of | |
| the deceased employee was a government | |
| employee. Further it is a settle principle of law | |
| that the purpose of compassionate appointment | |
| is to grant relief to overcome the sudden | |
| financial crisis arose due to the sudden death | |
| of sole bread earner. As the mother of the | |
| applicant was also government employee and | |
| the applicant subsequently got married, | |
| therefore the Enquiry Committee has rightly | |
| observed that there is no financial crisis for | |
| grant of any compassionate appointment. | |
| Therefore we do not find only record to | |
| • | |
| interiere with the Enquiry Committee report. | |
| | facts are not been controverted by the applicant. Therefore in view of such fact, the Enquiry Committee has rightly rejected the claim of the applicant. Heard both the parties and perused the records. It is an admitted fact that the wife of the deceased employee was a government employee. Further it is a settle principle of law that the purpose of compassionate appointment is to grant relief to overcome the sudden financial crisis arose due to the sudden death of sole bread earner. As the mother of the applicant was also government employee and the applicant subsequently got married, therefore the Enquiry Committee has rightly observed that there is no financial crisis for |

ORDER SHEET

| | Sutapa Manna Alias Patra. | |
|----------|---------------------------|--|
| Form No. | | |

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

| Serial No. and | Order of the Tribunalwith signature | Office action with date |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Date of order. | 2 | and dated signature |
| 1 | | of parties when necessar 3 |
| | Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid | |
| | of any merit with no order as to cost. | |
| | | |
| | P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J) | |
| Mihir | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |